

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,983	12/06/2001	Christopher M. Benson	9902	9424
26884 75	90 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
PAUL W. MARTIN		KRAMER, JAMES A		
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

10/010,983

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

**PAPER** 

3627

20050418

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

### **Commissioner for Patents**

Applicant's Appeal Brief filed 2/10/05 is defective for failing to address the rejections of claims 1-5 (Claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Freedman in view of Suski.

#### 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)(iv) (also see MPEP 1206) states:

For each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and, if appropriate, the specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied on in the rejection, and shall explain how such limitations render the claimed subject matter unobvious over the prior art. If the rejection is based upon a combination of references, the argument shall explain why the references, taken as a whole, do not suggest the claimed subject matter, and shall include, as may be appropriate, an explanation of why features disclosed in one reference may not properly be combined with features disclosed in another reference. A general argument that all the limitations are not described in a single reference does not satisfy the requirements of this paragraph.

Further, MPEP 1208 under "Answer" states that, "If the brief fails to address any or all grounds of rejection advanced by the examiner, or comply with 37 CFR 1.192(c), the indicated procedure for handling such briefs set forth in MPEP 1206 under "Review of Brief by Examiner" should be followed.

As such this communication server to notify Appellant of the failure to address the rejection to claims 1-5.

Pursuant to CFR 1.192(d) Appellant is provided with a period of one month within which to file an amended brief. If Appellant does not file an amended brief during the one-month period, or files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for non-compliance stated in this notification, the appeal will stand dismissed.

HOBERT P. OLSZEWSKI
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600